NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Alamogordo F.O.

| Applicant | | Farm No | Tract No | CMS Field No's | Da | te |
|-------------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------------|-------|----|
| Tribal Land | Non-Tribal Land | | | Preliminary | Final | |

1. Water Quantity - 70 Potential Points

| Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to evaluate. Benchmark & After points equal actual % efficiency times any multiplier. Total equals after minus benchmark pts. | | | Potential | Benchmark | After |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| % | % of Area in Contract | % of Area in Contract After | Points | Points | Points |
| Efficiency | before Treatment | Treatment | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 70 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 1. Water Quantity | Total | | |

2. Water Quality - 40 Potential Points

A. Surface Water Pollutants - 20 Maximum Points

There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of the field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0.

| Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water | Potential | Benchmark | After |
|---|-------------------|-----------|--------|
| Distance of Surface Run-On to live Water | Points | Points | Points |
| <100 Feet | 20 | 0 | |
| 101 - 500 Ft. | 15 | 0 | |
| 501 - 1,320 Ft. | 10 | 0 | |
| 1,321 - 2,640 Ft. | 5 | 0 | |
| >2,640 Feet | 0 | 0 | · |
| A. Surface W | ater Total | 0 | |

B. Ground Water Pollutants - 20 Maximum Points

There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or other nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and/or direct flow into wells. Points will be awarded based on depth to the water table or elimination of any direct discharge to ground water (regardless of depth to the water table).

| Depth to Water Table | | Benchmark | After |
|---|-------|-----------|--------|
| | | Points | Points |
| 1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water. | 20 | 0 | |
| 10 - 50 Ft. | 15 | 0 | |
| 50 -100 Ft. | 10 | 0 | |
| >100 Ft. | 5 | 0 | |
| B. Ground Water | Total | 0 | |
| 2. Water Quality | Total | 0 | |

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Alamogordo F.O.

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - 85 Potential Points

| Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation schedule of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide. | Potential Points | Percent of Need to be Installed | After Points |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Soil Erosion (Irrigation induced erosion) | | | |
| Irrigation Land Leveling (464) | 10 | | |
| Water Quality (Ground and Surface Water) | | | |
| Irrigation Water Management (449) | 10 | | |
| Water Quantity (Inefficient use of available irrigation water) | | | |
| Irrigation Pipeline (430DD or 430EE) | | | |
| Irrigation Water Conveyance (428A) | | | |
| Irrigation Land Leveling (464) | | | |
| Irrigation System (441, 442, or 443) | | | |
| Irrigation Water Management (449) | | | |
| Plants (Productivity, Health, and Vigor) | | | |
| Pest Management (595A) | 5 | | |
| 3. Selected Conservation Practices | Total | | |

4. Other Considerations - 20 Potential Points

| Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend | | Benchmark | After |
|---|--------|-----------|--------|
| based on LWG advice, please include it as item E. | Points | Points | Points |
| A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted) | 5 | | |
| B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment. | N/A | | |
| C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/planned section 309 proj | N/A | | |
| D. The land is within a NMED designated Catergory I watershed. | N/A | | |
| E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Clas | 15 | | |
| 4. Other Considerations | Total | | |

| Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Sec 1 | Sec 2 | Sec 3 | Sec 4 | Worksheet Total |
|---|----------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| | | | | |
| Designated Conservationist | Date | | | |